

## Lecture 13: May 16

Lecturer: Arash Amini

Scribe: Eric Chuu

## 1.1 Quadratic Forms

Let  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $A$  be a symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix. Then

$$Q(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{y}' A \mathbf{y} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij} y_i y_j \quad (1.1)$$

In the simplest case, consider  $A = I_n$ . Then  $Q(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{y}' I_n \mathbf{y} = \|\mathbf{y}\|^2$ . Since  $\mathbf{y} \sim N(0, I_n)$ , then we also know that  $\|\mathbf{y}\|^2 \sim \chi_n^2$ .

**Lemma.** Suppose  $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is a projection matrix with rank  $r \leq n$ . Then,

(a)  $\mathbf{y} \sim N(0, I_n)$ , and  $\mathbf{y}' P \mathbf{y} = \|P \mathbf{y}\|^2 \sim \chi_r^2$

(b) Let  $\mathbf{x} \sim N(0, P)$ . Then  $\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \sim \chi_r^2$

**Proof.**

(a) Since  $P$  is a projection matrix, it is symmetric and idempotent, so there exists a spectral decomposition,  $P = U \Lambda U'$ , where  $U$  is orthogonal and  $\Lambda$  is diagonal with the eigenvalues of  $P$  on the diagonal. Let  $\mathbf{z} = U' \mathbf{y}$ . Then  $\text{Cov}(\mathbf{z}) = U' I_n U = U' U = I_n$ , and it follows that  $\mathbf{z} \sim N(0, I_n)$ . Then

$$\|P \mathbf{y}\|^2 = (P \mathbf{y})' (P \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{y}' P' P \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}' P \mathbf{y} \quad (1.2)$$

$$\mathbf{y}' P \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}' U \Lambda U' \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{z}' \Lambda \mathbf{z} = \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^2 \lambda_i = \sum_{i=0}^r z_i^2 \lambda_i = \sum_{i=1}^r z_i^2 \sim \chi_r^2 \quad (1.3)$$

Note that the summation of  $z_i^2 \lambda_i$  to  $n$  and  $r$  equivalent because  $\text{rank}(P) = r$ , so the remaining  $n - r$  diagonal entries are 0, and the result follows.

(b) Suppose  $\mathbf{x} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, P)$ . We can write  $\mathbf{x} = P \mathbf{y}$  and verify that the distribution holds. Then  $\text{Cov}(P \mathbf{y}) = P I_n P' = P P' = P$  by symmetric and idempotence, so  $\mathbf{x} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, P)$ . It remains to show that  $\mathbf{x}' \mathbf{x} \sim \chi_r^2$ . Note that we can write  $\mathbf{x}' \mathbf{x}$  as follows

$$\mathbf{x}' \mathbf{x} = (P \mathbf{y})' (P \mathbf{y}) = \|P \mathbf{y}\|^2$$

which can be seen from (1.3), to follow  $\chi_r^2$ . The result follows.  $\square$

**Example.** Recall the usual regression setup/assumptions. Then  $\mathbf{e} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2(I - H))$ , and  $\frac{\mathbf{e}}{\sigma} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, (I - H))$ , where  $I - H$  is a projection matrix with rank  $n - p - 1$ . Then applying the previous lemma, we have

$$\left\| \frac{\mathbf{e}}{\sigma} \right\|^2 \sim \chi_{n-p-1}^2 \quad (1.4)$$

**Cochran's Theorem.** Suppose  $\mathbf{y} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, I_n)$  and let  $Q = \sum_{i=1}^k Q_i$ , where  $Q_1, \dots, Q_k$  are quadratic forms in  $y_i$ , i.e.,  $Q_i = \mathbf{y}'_i A_i \mathbf{y}_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Further, assume that

- (a)  $Q \sim \chi_r^2$  and  $Q_i \sim \chi_{r_i}^2, i = 1, \dots, k-1$
- (b)  $Q_k \geq 0$ .

Then,  $Q_1, \dots, Q_k$  are independent and  $Q_k \sim \chi_{r_k}^2$ , with  $r_k = r - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_i$ .

## 1.2 Statistical Inference

We want to test a general linear hypothesis. Consider the following regression model,

$$\mathbf{y} = \beta_0 \mathbf{1} + \beta_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \beta_2 \mathbf{x}_2 + \varepsilon \quad (1.5)$$

and the null hypothesis:

$$H_0 : \begin{cases} \beta_1 = 2\beta_2 \\ \beta_0 = 0 \end{cases}$$

Note that this set of constraints can be written in matrix- vector form as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\beta} = A\boldsymbol{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Using this formulation, we have the following hypothesis test:

$$\begin{cases} H_0 : A\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{0} \\ H_a : A\boldsymbol{\beta} \neq \mathbf{0} \end{cases}$$

The null model has fewer effective parameters than does the original unrestricted model. Taking  $\beta_1 = 2\beta_2 =: \gamma$ , we can write the model under the null as a function of one parameter,

$$\mathbf{y} = \beta_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \beta_2 \mathbf{x}_2 + \varepsilon = 2\beta_2 \mathbf{x}_1 + \beta_2 \mathbf{x}_2 = \gamma \left( \mathbf{x}_1 + \frac{\mathbf{x}_2}{2} \right) + \varepsilon \quad (1.6)$$

We can extend this idea to a general linear hypothesis with  $q$  constraints, where  $q \leq p+1$ . We assume that the matrix  $A$ , which contains the constraints is full rank, so  $\text{rank}(A) = q$ . More specifically, we have

$$y = X\boldsymbol{\beta} + \varepsilon = \boldsymbol{\mu} + \varepsilon \quad (1.7)$$

and we want to test

$$\begin{cases} H_0 : A\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{0} \\ H_a : A\boldsymbol{\beta} \neq \mathbf{0} \end{cases}$$

where

$$A \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times (q+1)}, \quad \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^{(p+1) \times 1}, \quad X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (p+1)} \quad (1.8)$$

Recall  $L(X) := \text{Im}(X) = \{X\boldsymbol{\beta} : \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}\}$ . Then the mean vector  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  of the unrestricted model is in  $L(X)$ . We can then define the restricted image,

$$L_A(X) = \{X\boldsymbol{\beta} : \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}, A\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{0}\} = \{X\boldsymbol{\beta} : \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \ker(A)\} \quad (1.9)$$

Clearly,  $L_A(X) \subseteq L(X)$ . From these definitions, we can say  $L(X)$  is the image of  $\mathbb{R}^{p+1}$  under the map  $X, \beta \mapsto X\beta$ . Similarly,  $L_A(X)$  is the image of  $\ker(A)$  under the map  $X, \beta \mapsto X\beta$ . Since  $\dim(L(X)) = p + 1$ .

**Claim:** Suppose  $\dim(L(X)) = p + 1$ . Then  $\dim(L_A(X)) = p + 1 - q$ .

**Proof:** Suppose that  $X$  is full rank  $(p + 1)$ , and  $V$  is a linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{p+1}$ . Then  $XV$  has the same dimension of  $V$ . Using this result, we know that  $\ker(A)$  has the same dimension of  $L_A(X)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(L_A(X)) &= \dim(\ker(A)) \\ &= p + 1 - \dim(\text{Im}(A')) \\ &= p + 1 - q \end{aligned}$$

by the full-rank assumption. □

Using this formulation of the image and the restricted image, we can consider hypothesis tests of the form:

$$\begin{cases} H_0 : \mu \in L_A(X) \\ H_a : \mu \notin L_A(X), \mu \in L(X) \end{cases}$$